

These are all the records we have been able to discover, either at the rooms of the Company, or at the Mass. State Library, in the State House. We can find no "Andrew Harris" recorded in any military organization of those times, which eliminates him. There was no "Capt." on the record which gave the name as "Andrew Harris" and we find that Walter was not a Captain in the A. & H. A. Co. nor in any military organization in the colony. We have the following confirmation of this statement: "Walter Haynes was not chosen to any military office by the General Court."—F. W. Cook, Sec' to Commonwealth of Mass. (1927). The title "Capt." seems to be entirely unwarranted, and does not belong there. The foregoing statement by F. W. Cook proves conclusively the error in the inscription on the marker placed by the D. A. R. at the site of the Haynes Garrison, when it states that Walter Haynes was a Colonel in the Middlesex Regiment.

John Haynes was Governor of Massachusetts May 6, 1635 till May 25, 1636, but moved to Hartford, Conn., May 2, 1637. The A. & H. A. Co. was not organized until 1638 and it is not at all probable that Gov. Haynes would have joined a military organization so far removed as Boston, when he had left Massachusetts and made Hartford, Conn., his home for the future. Also, if it was Gov. Haynes he would surely not be recorded as "Mr. Haynes." We think this eliminates Gov. John Haynes from our records. Now as to the probability of Walter having been a member. We know he was living on the very outskirts of civilization, the wilderness beyond being filled with Indians, and he must have felt the necessity for defensive measures. He was without military training. The A. & H. A. Co. was being formed, and no doubt was the talk of the town, and Walter, on his visits to Boston for supplies, must have heard much about it, and was probably importuned to join. It seems very reasonable that he should do so, and as their published "Roll of Membership" has him recorded as one of the new members in 1639 and their "History of the A. & H. A. Co.," published in 1842, also records him, we think these records may be accepted as correct.

#### LOCATION OF WALTER HAYNES' SECOND HOUSE

Written by Frederic M. Haynes

The exact location of Walter's second house is not known. The Haynes Garrison House was thought to have been Walter's, and in Hudson's History of Sudbury, page 199, it says: "it is supposed to have been erected by Walter Haynes, and was repeatedly referred to as Mr. Haynes' old house," but the Old Petition for remuneration for losses sustained in the Indian attack on the Garrison House says "The enemy with greate force & fury assaulted Deacon Haines' house" etc., and in the list of damages there given is "Deacon John Haines £180." As the writers of the Old Petition knew who lived in the Garrison House we think this is conclusive evidence that it belonged to John, and not to Walter.